26

<sup>1</sup>Refers to the court's docket number.

appeal and is not entitled to costs. In addition, Rio argues that the written agreement between Rio and Stewart provides that the "prevailing party" is entitled to attorneys' fees and costs. According, Rio argues, Stewart's motion is premature as there is no prevailing party. The court finds that consideration of fees and costs is premature at this juncture. In its objections to Stewart's bill of costs, Rio asserts that the parties' agreement has two provisions that alter the cost allocation contemplated by Rule 39. Because there are issues of fact regarding the interpretation of the agreement, the court will defer ruling on the issue of costs until those factual questions are resolved. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Stewart's Motion to Retax Costs (# 478) is hereby DENIED without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 20th day of June, 2008. Fldihe UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE